The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board

Paper No. 25

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte TETSURO MOTOYAMA

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

NOV 1 4 2003

Appeal No. 2003-0546 Application 09/192,583

## ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

On July 28, 2003, appellant filed an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) (Paper No. 24). There is no indication in the record that the examiner has considered the IDS according to the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98 (1994). A communication notifying applicants of the Primary Examiner's decision is required.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner for consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement, and for such further action as may be appropriate.





Application 09/312,919

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be promptly informed of any action affecting the appeal in this case (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCES

Dale M. Shaw

Program and Resource Administrator

(703) 308-9797

DMS/cam

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, PC 1940 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314